

that your engine still fails after you have reduced the maximum test torque by 20% of the original maximum test torque, notify us and we will consider specifying a more appropriate duty cycle for your engine under the provisions of § 1065.10(c).

**§ 1065.545 Validation of proportional flow control for batch sampling.**

For any proportional batch sample such as a bag or PM filter, demonstrate that proportional sampling was maintained using one of the following, noting that you may omit up to 5% of the total number of data points as outliers:

(a) For any pair of flow meters, use the 1 Hz (or more frequently) recorded sample and total flow rates with the statistical calculations in § 1065.602. Determine the standard error of the estimate, *SEE*, of the sample flow rate versus the total flow rate. For each test interval, demonstrate that *SEE* was less than or equal to 3.5% of the mean sample flow rate.

(b) For any pair of flow meters, use the 1 Hz (or more frequently) recorded sample and total flow rates to demonstrate that each flow rate was constant within  $\pm 2.5\%$  of its respective mean or target flow rate. You may use the following options instead of recording the respective flow rate of each type of meter:

(1) *Critical-flow venturi option.* For critical-flow venturis, you may use the 1 Hz (or more frequently) recorded venturi-inlet conditions. Demonstrate that the flow density at the venturi inlet was constant within  $\pm 2.5\%$  of the mean or target density over each test interval. For a CVS critical-flow venturi, you may demonstrate this by showing that the absolute temperature at the venturi inlet was constant within  $\pm 4\%$  of the mean or target absolute temperature over each test interval.

(2) *Positive-displacement pump option.* You may use the 1 Hz (or more frequently) recorded pump-inlet conditions. Demonstrate that the density at the pump inlet was constant within  $\pm 2.5\%$  of the mean or target density over each test interval. For a CVS pump, you may demonstrate this by showing that the absolute temperature at the pump inlet was constant within

$\pm 2\%$  of the mean or target absolute temperature over each test interval.

(c) Using good engineering judgment, demonstrate with an engineering analysis that the proportional-flow control system inherently ensures proportional sampling under all circumstances expected during testing. For example, you might use CFVs for both sample flow and total flow and demonstrate that they always have the same inlet pressures and temperatures and that they always operate under critical-flow conditions.

**§ 1065.550 Gas analyzer range validation, drift validation, and drift correction.**

(a) *Range validation.* If an analyzer operated above 100% of its range at any time during the test, perform the following steps:

(1) For batch sampling, re-analyze the sample using the lowest analyzer range that results in a maximum instrument response below 100%. Report the result from the lowest range from which the analyzer operates below 100% of its range for the entire test.

(2) For continuous sampling, repeat the entire test using the next higher analyzer range. If the analyzer again operates above 100% of its range, repeat the test using the next higher range. Continue to repeat the test until the analyzer operates at less than 100% of its range for the entire test.

(b) *Drift validation and drift correction.* Calculate two sets of brake-specific emission results. Calculate one set using the data before drift correction and the other set after correcting all the data for drift according to § 1065.672. Use the two sets of brake-specific emission results as follows:

(1) If the difference between the corrected and uncorrected brake-specific emissions are within  $\pm 4\%$  of the uncorrected results for all regulated emissions, the test is validated for drift. If not, the entire test is void.

(2) If the test is validated for drift, you must use only the drift-corrected emission results when reporting emissions, unless you demonstrate to us that using the drift-corrected results adversely affects your ability to demonstrate whether or not your engine complies with the applicable standards.